

Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms – must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.

- Project Listings:

- New;
- Renewal;
- UFA Costs;
- CoC Planning;
- YHPD Renewal; and
- YHDP Replacement.
- Attachment Requirement

- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:

- New and Renewal Project Listings – all project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.
- Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved, they are not ranked per the FY 2021 CoC Program Competition NOFO:

- UFA Costs Project Listing;
- CoC planning Project Listing;
- YHPD Renewal Project Listing; and
- YHDP Replacement Project Listing.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD’s website.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Collaborative Applicant Name: Government of Guam/Guam Housing & Urban Renewal Authority

2. Reallocation

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

2-1. Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in calendar year 2022 into one or more new projects? Yes

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs reallocating eligible renewal project funds to create a new project application – as detailed in the FY 2021 CoC Program Competition NOFO – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating eligible renewal projects must identify those projects on this form.

Amount Available for New Project: (Sum of All Eliminated Projects)				
\$110,274				
Eliminated Project Name	Grant Number Eliminated	Component Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Type of Reallocation
Guma Mami Bonus	GU0027L9C002002	JOINT	\$110,274	Regular

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

3-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process. Refer to the FY 2021 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name: Guma Mami Bonus

Grant Number of Eliminated Project: GU0027L9C002002

Eliminated Project Component Type: JOINT

Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: \$110,274

3-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified. (limit 750 characters)

The program was eliminated due to the following reasons:

- Did not house any participants in transitional housing or permanent housing rapid rehousing tenant based rental assistance during the operating year.
- Did not comply with HUD's condition for documentation and recordkeeping requirements; failed to collect required documents.
- Unresolved Annual Performance Report in SAGE
- Unsatisfactory match documentation, ineligible activities were used as match.
- Payment reimbursements are not submitted in a timely manner.
- Did not address HUD monitoring report in a timely manner.
- Has not demonstrated effective use of federal funds.

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs reallocating eligible renewal project funds to create a new project application – as detailed in the FY 2021 CoC Program Competition NOFO – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating eligible renewal projects must identify those projects on this form.

Amount Available for New Project (Sum of All Reduced Projects)					
Reduced Project Name	Reduced Grant Number	Annual Renewal Amount	Amount Retained	Amount available for new project	Reallocation Type
This list contains no items					

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all new project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Rank	PH/Realoc	PSH/RRH	Expansion
Anchor of Hope	2021-11-09 21:36:...	PH	Government of Gua...	\$201,360	1 Year	4	Both	PSH	

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.

X

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

X

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	PSH/RRH	Comp Type	Consolidation Type	Expansion Type
Guma Manhobon PH-RRH	2021-10-31 22:08:...	1 Year	Government of Gua...	\$134,888	5		Joint TH & PH-RRH		
Coordinated Entry...	2021-10-31 02:58:...	1 Year	Government of Gua...	\$53,021	2		SSO		
Housing First Ren...	2021-10-25 17:26:...	1 Year	Government of Gua...	\$554,034	3	PSH	PH		

DV Bonus	2021-10-31 03:07:...	1 Year	Government of Gua...	\$187,729	6		Joint TH & PH-RRH		
HMIS	2021-10-29 03:01:...	1 Year	Government of Gua...	\$117,146	1		HMIS		
Guma Mami Bonus	2021-10-27 00:51:...	1 Year	Government of Gua...	\$110,274	X		Joint TH & PH-RRH		
Y Jahame Permanen...	2021-11-12 01:56:...	1 Year	Government of Gua...	\$194,591	7	PSH	PH		

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?
GU-500 CoC Planni...	2021-11-11 19:07:...	1 Year	Government of Gua...	\$54,652	Yes

Funding Summary

Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after the you approved, ranked (New and Renewal Project Listings only), or rejected project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate. The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC's Collaborative Applicant will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
Renewal Amount	\$1,241,409
New Amount	\$201,360
CoC Planning Amount	\$54,652
YHDP Amount	\$0
Rejected Amount	\$110,274
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	\$1,497,421

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	Yes	HUD - 2991	11/12/2021
FY 2021 Rank Tool (optional)	No	Review & Ranking ...	11/12/2021
Other	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: HUD - 2991

Attachment Details

Document Description: Review & Ranking Selection Criteria

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY2021 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

WARNING: The FY2021 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1A. Identification	09/19/2021
2. Reallocation	11/10/2021
3. Grant(s) Eliminated	11/12/2021
4. Grant(s) Reduced	No Input Required
5A. CoC New Project Listing	11/12/2021
5B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	11/12/2021
5D. CoC Planning Project Listing	11/11/2021
Funding Summary	No Input Required
Attachments	11/12/2021
Submission Summary	No Input Required

--

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Government of Guam/GHURA - The Salvation Army

Project Name: Homeless Management Information System

Location of the Project: 155003 Corsair Road

Tiyan, GU 96921

Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying: FY 2021 Continuum of Care Competition

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: Guam

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: Lourdes A. Leon Guerrero

Title: Governor of Guam

Signature: 

Date: 10/29/2021

**Certification of Consistency
with the Consolidated Plan****U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Government of Guam/GHURA - Catholic Social ServiceProject Name: Coordinated Entry SystemLocation of the Project: 234 US Army Juan C. Fejeran St.Barrigada, GU 96913Name of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: FY 2021 Continuum of Care CompetitionName of
Certifying Jurisdiction: GuamCertifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: Lourdes A. Leon GuerreroTitle: Governor of GuamSignature: Date: 10/29/2021

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Government of Guam/GHURA

Project Name: Housing First Rental Assistance Program

Location of the Project: 117 Bien Venida Ave.
Sinajana, GU 96910

Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying: FY 2021 Continuum of Care Competition

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: Guam

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: Lourdes A. Leon Guerrero

Title: Governor of Guam

Signature: 

Date: 10/29/2021

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Government of Guam/GHURA - Sanctuary Incorporated of Guam

Project Name: Guma Manhoben

Location of the Project: 406 Mai Mai Road
Chalan Pago, GU 96910

Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying: FY 2021 Continuum of Care Competition

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: Guam

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: Lourdes A. Leon Guerrero

Title: Governor of Guam

Signature: 

Date: 10/29/2021

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Government of Guam/GHURA - First Church of God

Project Name: House of Hope

Location of the Project: 449 Rt. #10 Veterans Highway

Barrigada, GU 96913

Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying: FY 2021 Continuum of Care Competition

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: Guam

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: Lourdes A. Leon Guerrero

Title: Governor of Guam

Signature: 

Date: 10/29/2021

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Government of Guam/GHURA - Catholic Social Service

Project Name: DV Bonus - Gai Animas

Location of the Project: 234 US Army Juan C. Fejeran St.
Barrigada, GU 96913

Name of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: FY 2021 Continuum of Care Competition

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: Guam

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: Lourdes A. Leon Guerrero

Title: Governor of Guam

Signature: 

Date: 10/29/2021

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Government of Guam/GHURA - Catholic Social Service

Project Name: Y' Jahame Permanent Housing Program

Location of the Project: 234 US Army Juan C. Fejeran St.
Barrigada, GU 96913

Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying: FY 2021 Continuum of Care Competition

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: Guam

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: Lourdes A. Leon Guerrero

Title: Governor of Guam

Signature: 

Date: 10/29/2021

GUAM HOMELESS COALITION

POLICY AND PROCEDURE

REVIEW AND RANKING OF CONTINUUM OF CARE HOMELESS ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

REFERENCE: Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for the Continuum of Care (CoC) Homeless Assistance Program published annually by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

PURPOSE: The purpose of this policy is to ensure that the Guam Homeless Coalition (GHC) conducts a transparent and objective process to review and rank all applications for renewal of existing projects and creation of new projects as required by the specified NOFO and to ensure the submission of such applications to HUD within 60-90 days after the NOFO release. The overall goal of the GHC is the elimination of homelessness on Guam as seen in the reduction in the number of homeless individuals and families identified in the Point-in-Time (PIT) sheltered and unsheltered counts and annual sheltered data within the CoC over time.

POLICY: As the local CoC, the GHC will conduct a review and ranking of all CoC Homeless Assistance Program project applications. Ranking of renewal projects must incorporate regularly collected data on project performance and effectiveness using established selection criteria and should reflect compliance with the established processes and priorities of the CoC. In order to best serve our community by providing effective projects and capturing the maximum funds available, housing projects will be ranked according to HUD's priorities as established in the NOFO, as well as according to local priorities.

PROCESS:

Following the release of the NOFO, a Request For Interest (RFI) will be issued by the Guam Housing and Urban Renewal Authority (GHURA) as the GHC Collaborative Applicant to gather relevant performance documentation from each renewal and new project applicant. Data obtained through the RFI process will be used during the review and ranking of applications.

The objectives of the CoC ranking process are to:

- Comply with all HUD requirements;
- Ensure that each project narrative is fully responsive to the question being asked and that it meets all the criteria for that question as required by the NOFO and that the data provided in various parts of the project application are consistent;
- Preserve funding for high performing projects that are operated in alignment with GHC initiatives, priorities, and other best practices;
- Shift investments from lower performing projects to new projects that help advance our community's goal of ending homelessness;
- Ensure that people of different races or ethnicities receive homeless assistance or positive outcomes by determining whether racial or ethnic disparities are present in projects as well as whether applicants have identified barriers that led to these disparities and have taken steps to eliminate these barriers to improve racial equity; and

GHC Policy and Procedure: Review and Ranking of CoC Programs

- Review Youth Homeless Demonstration Program (YHDP) project applications for compliance with project eligibility, project quality, and if applicable, project renewal thresholds.

Review Panel

The Collaborative Applicant, with support from the GHC Planning Committee, will convene an unbiased panel and will prepare final information for their review.

Panelists will review material provided and score applications using the established selection criteria. The panel will then meet to discuss their concerns, average the scores of all panelists, and arrive at a proposed final ranking.

Priority Order Ranking

Projects will be funded by project type based on the priority order determined by the CoC. Within each group, projects will be scored using established selection criteria and placed in their ranked order by score. Project priority order will be as follows:

1. renewal Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) and Permanent Housing (PH) projects, including Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)
2. new PH projects, including PSH and RRH
3. renewal transitional housing or support services only projects
4. any project application submitted by the CoC that was not included in the HUD-approved Grant Inventory Worksheet

Scoring System

The scoring of applications is based on a points system. Points are earned based on the following criteria:

❖ Current Programs

- Project Performance (McKinney-Vento Performance Based Selection Criteria)
 1. Reduction of the average and median length of time persons remain homelessness
 2. Reduction in the number of persons who are homeless
 3. Increase in the percent of adults who gain or increase employment or non-employment cash income over time
 4. *Only applicants who serve families with children and youth defined as homeless are required to complete the following measures:*
 - a. Reduction in the percent of persons defined as homeless under Category 3 of HUD's homeless definition who return to homelessness within 6 and 12 months
 - b. Reduction in the percent of persons defined as homeless under Category 3 of HUD's homeless definition who return to homelessness within 24
 - c. Increase in the percent of persons who exit to or retain permanent housing
 5. Successful placement from street outreach to emergency shelter, safe haven, transitional housing, or permanent housing destinations.
 6. Successful placement in or retention of permanent housing from emergency shelter, safe haven, transitional housing, or rapid re-housing projects
- Organizational Commitment
- Relative Need
- Project Design
- Financial Management

GHC Policy and Procedure: Review and Ranking of CoC Programs

- ❖ New Programs
 - Organizational Commitment
 - Relative Need
 - Project Design
 - Readiness to Proceed
 - Financial Management
- ❖ HMIS
 - Project Performance
 - Organizational Commitment
 - Relative Need
 - Financial Management

New PH, RRH, and PSH projects (or renewing projects that have not been operational for a full year) will not have an APR. These projects will therefore receive 0 points for performance.

HMIS renewal applications will be exempt from the full RFI, but will be assessed for performance and spending in alignment with HUD requirements.

Project Tiers

Once the rank order of projects has been determined, the CoC will have the opportunity to prioritize their projects locally by placing them in tiers based on the following financial thresholds:

- Tier 1 is 85% of the CoC Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) in the HUD-approved Grant Inventory Worksheet. Tier 1 projects will be funded by HUD provided they meet all threshold and project quality requirements.
- Tier 2 is the difference between Tier 1 and the final ARD plus any HUD-determined amounts for CoC Homeless Assistance Program (PH or RRH) bonus.

HMIS is a HUD-mandated requirement in order to receive CoC funding. The HMIS renewal will therefore be placed in Tier 1 without any reduction in funding pursuant to the existing Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the GHC Collaborative Applicant and the HMIS lead agency.

The overall score for new PH, RRH, and PSH projects (or renewing projects that have not been operational for a full year) will place them in the bottom of their respective groups. However, such applicants will still be placed in Tier 1.

Within the rank order established by the CoC, HUD will select projects from Tier 2 with any remaining available funds in the same priority order specified herein. Based on this, the Tier 2 projects will most likely include all transitional housing or support services only.

The GHC Executive Committee reserves the option of re-ordering the project list to place projects into Tier 2 to best position Guam to receive the maximum amount of funding.

Bonus Allocation of Funds

In the event that Guam receives a bonus allocation of funds for eligible homeless assistance, then the GHC will accept applications for the creation of new project(s). All applications and their subsequent renewal thereafter will be reviewed and ranked according to the CoC policy and procedure described herein.

Re-Allocation

The CoC may use funds taken in whole or in part from existing grants to create new projects through re-allocation. Once created, renewal of such projects will then be reviewed and ranked according to the CoC policy and procedure described herein. Two types of projects may be created:

- Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) serving chronically homeless people
- Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) serving homeless families coming from streets or shelters (not transitional housing)

Ranking Exceptions

HUD requires CoC to rank all projects except CoC Planning and United Funding Agency (UFA) costs. GHC does not apply for UFA costs. Instead, GHURA as the Collaborative Applicant will continue to apply for CoC Planning Costs.

Final Project Priority List and Notification to Applicants

Once the rating and ranking processes for new and renewal projects are complete, the Collaborative Applicant will create a proposed Project Priority List for review and approval by the GHC Planning Committee and the GHC Executive Committee. This proposed list can include recommendations to adjust the placement of projects in Tier 2 in order to maximize the total funding award for Guam or strengthen the consolidated application. After the Project Priority List is approved, notice of the results will be sent to applicants.

Appeal Process

Applicants may appeal any of the following decisions:

- Placement of project into Tier 2
- Reduction of renewal grant amount (i.e. renewal grant partially re-allocated to a new project)
- Elimination of renewal grant (i.e. entire grant re-allocated to a new project) if not previously notified that grant was to be re-allocated as a result of low performance.

Applicants placed in Tier 1 may not appeal their rank on the Project Priority List.

Appeals must be submitted in writing to the GHC Executive Committee. Appeals will be heard by an appeal panel made up of the non-conflicted members of the GHC Executive Committee who did not serve on the initial review panel. A Collaborative Applicant staff member and a review panel member may be present to inform the discussion. The decision of the appeal panel is final.

FORMS USED:

1. Guam Homeless Coalition Selection Criteria for Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance Programs – Current Programs
2. Guam Homeless Coalition Selection Criteria for Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance Programs – New Programs
3. Guam Homeless Coalition Selection Criteria for Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance Programs – HMIS & Coordinated Entry

**GUAM HOMELESS COALITION SELECTION CRITERIA
FOR CONTINUUM OF CARE HOMELESS ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS
* For Ranking of Renewal Programs ***

Organization: _____ **Project:** _____

Reviewer: _____ **Date Reviewed:** _____

Project Performance	Possible Score	Project Score
Reduction in the average and median length of time persons remain homeless	5	
Reduction in the number of persons who are homeless	5	
Increase in the percent of adults who gain or increase employment or non-employment case income over time	5	
Reduction in the percent of persons defined as homeless under Category 3 of HUD's homeless definition who return to homelessness within 6 to 12 months *	5	
Reduction in the percent of persons defined as homeless under Category 3 of HUD's homeless definition who return to homelessness within 24 months *	5	
Increase the percent of persons who exit to or retain permanent housing	5	
Successful placement from street outreach	5	
Successful placement in or retention of rapid rehousing or permanent housing	5	
Timely and accurate data entry into HMIS or if a victim service provider or legal service provider, entry in a comparable database and provision of de-identified information to the CoC	5	
For Domestic Violence project applicants: Ability to house survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking and meet safety outcomes	5	
Project Performance of Programs that serve Homeless under Category 3	45	
Project Performance of Domestic Violence Programs	35	
Project Performance of All Other Programs	30	
Organizational Commitment	Possible Score	Project Score
Does the organization have knowledge of and experience with serving the homelessness population?	5	
Does the organization, its employees and partners (if applicable) have the necessary experience and knowledge to carry out the specific activities proposed?	5	
Does the organization participate in GHC activities and events such as the annual Point-In-Time (PIT) Count and Passport to Services?	5	
Does the organization attend regular GHC meeting?	5	
Does the organization participate in GHC subcommittees?	5	
Organizational Commitment	25	
Relative Need	Possible Score	Project Score
Is the project directly related to the critical needs of the homeless population?	5	
Does the organization explain how the project is consistent with the mission statement of the Continuum of Care?	5	
Is the project consistent with the Continuum of Care vision and the Gaps Analysis? • Does the project address one of the priority needs identified? • Does the applicant build a case for the need? • Is there any existing housing for this population? If so, is the need much greater than the current capacity?	5	
Relative Need	15	
Project Design	Possible Score	Project Score
Is the project narrative fully responsive to the question being asked? Does it meet all the criteria for that question?	5	
Is the data provided in various parts of the project application consistent?	5	

Is the target population clearly described? For example, a project that will serve homeless youth would define the age group to be served – homeless youth age 13 to 17.	5	
Are the type and scale of the housing or services proposed appropriate to the needs of the persons to be served?	5	
Does the project follow a Housing First approach that prioritizes rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing and does not have service participation requirements or preconditions?	5	
Does the project show that people of different races or ethnicities receive homeless assistance or positive outcomes?	5	
Does the project show how it will identify and eliminate any barriers that may lead to racial or ethnic disparities to improve racial equity?	5	
Is the project designed to help participants achieve self-sufficiency and not just meet emergency needs?	5	
Are transportation and community amenities available and accessible?	5	
Is there adequate supervision of the population to be served?	5	
Is there adequate supervision of direct service staff?	5	
Does the project show how it will help to increase stability for the homeless population by accessing mainstream services?	5	
Does the project show how it will help to increase skills for the homeless population?	5	
Does the project show how participants will be helped to access permanent housing and achieve self-sufficiency?	5	
Project Design	70	
Financial Management		Possible Score
Does the application provide clear information that addresses sustainability and a budget that supports the project design?	5	Project Score
Do the project costs, including costs associated with operations, and administrations, reflect the norm for the type of structure of kind of activity?	5	
Is there a financial management system in place that is able to properly account for expenditure of federal funds?	5	
Does the application specify appropriate financial leverage and matching funds?	5	
Has the program been spending its current funds in a timely manner?	5	
Has the program been using its current funds appropriately?	5	
Does the organization submit all program information and reports in a timely manner?	5	
Financial Management	35	

Comments: _____

Ranking of Renewal Programs That Serve Homeless Under Category 3		Ranking of All Other Renewal Programs	
Project Performance (Max 45 pts)		Project Performance (Max 30 pts; Max 35 pts For DV Programs)	
Organizational Commitment (Max 25 pts)		Organizational Commitment (Max 25 pts)	
Relative Need (Max 15 pts)		Relative Need (Max 15 pts)	
Project Design (Max 60 pts)		Project Design (Max 60 pts)	
Financial Management (Max 35 pts)		Financial Management (Max 35 pts)	
TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (Max 160 pts)		TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (Max 165 pts; Max 170 pts for DV Programs)	
FINAL AVERAGE (Total Project Score / 155)		FINAL AVERAGE (Total Project Score / 160) For DV Programs (Total Project Score / 165 pts)	

***Category 3 as per HEARTH Act:** Families with children or unaccompanied youth who are unstably housed and likely to continue in that state. This category applies to families with children or unaccompanied youth (up to age 24) who have not had a lease or ownership interest in a housing unit in the last 60 or more days, have had two or more moves in the last 60 days, and who are likely to continue to be unstably housed because of disability or multiple barriers to employment.

**GUAM HOMELESS COALITION SELECTION CRITERIA
FOR CONTINUUM OF CARE HOMELESS ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS
*For Ranking of New Programs***

Organization: _____ **Project:** _____

Reviewer: _____ **Date Reviewed:** _____

Organizational Commitment	Possible Score	Project Score
Does the organization have knowledge of and experience with serving the homelessness population?	5	
Does the organization, its employees and partners (if applicable) have the necessary experience and knowledge to carry out the specific activities proposed?	5	
Does the organization participate in GHC activities and events such as the annual Point-In-Time (PIT) Count and Passport to Services?	5	
Does the organization attend regular GHC meeting?	5	
Does the organization participate in GHC subcommittees?	5	
<i>For new Domestic Violence project applicants only:</i> What is the applicant's previous performance in serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, and their ability to house survivors and meet safety outcomes?	5	
Organizational Commitment of Domestic Violence Project Applicants	30	
Organizational Commitment of All Other Applicants	25	
Relative Need	Possible Score	Project Score
Is the project directly related to the critical needs of the homeless population?	5	
Does the organization explain how the project is consistent with the mission statement of the Continuum of Care?	5	
Is the project consistent with the Continuum of Care vision and the Gaps Analysis? • Does the project address one of the priority needs identified? • Does the applicant build a case for the need? • Is there any existing housing for this population? If so, is the need much greater than the current capacity?	5	
Relative Need	15	
Project Design – The proposal should explain the population that will be served and how it meets their needs.	Possible Score	Project Score
Is the project narrative fully responsive to the question being asked? Does it meet all the criteria for that question?	5	
Is the target population clearly described? For example, a project that will serve homeless youth would define the age group to be served – homeless youth age 13 to 17.	5	
Are the type and scale of the housing or services proposed appropriate to the needs of the persons to be served?	5	
Does the project follow a Housing First approach that prioritizes rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing and does not have service participation requirements or preconditions?	5	
Does the project show that people of different races or ethnicities receive homeless assistance or positive outcomes?	5	
Does the project show how it will identify and eliminate any barriers that may lead to racial or ethnic disparities to improve racial equity?	5	
Is the project designed to help participants achieve self-sufficiency and not just meet emergency needs?	5	
Are transportation and community amenities available and accessible?	5	

Is there adequate supervision of the population to be served?	5	
Is there adequate supervision of direct service staff?	5	
Does the project show how it will help to increase stability for the homeless population by accessing mainstream services?	5	
Does the project show how it will help to increase skills for the homeless population?	5	
Does the project show how participants will be helped to access permanent housing and achieve self-sufficiency?	5	
Does the project specify how it will ensure timely and accurate data entry into HMIS or if a victim service provider or legal service provider, entry in a comparable database and provision of de-identified information to the CoC?	5	
Project Design	60	
Readiness to Proceed	Possible Score	Project Score
Does the organization have the essential staff with the required knowledge and experience to implement the program?	5	
Does the organization have an implementation plan that includes the position descriptions and a timeline to hire staff?	5	
Does the organization have site control of the property where the project will take place?	5	
Does the organization have the ability to provide sound programmatic and fiscal oversight?	5	
Readiness to Proceed	20	
Financial Management	Possible Score	Project Score
Does the application provide clear information that addresses sustainability and a budget that supports the project design?	5	
Do the project costs, including costs associated with operations, and administrations, reflect the norm for the type of structure of kind of activity?	5	
Is there a financial management system in place that is able to properly account for expenditure of federal funds?	5	
Does the application specify appropriate financial leverage and matching funds?	5	
Financial Management	20	

Comments: _____

Ranking of New Programs	
Organizational Commitment (Max 25 pts; Max 30 pts for DV Project Applicants)	
Relative Need (Max 15 pts)	
Project Design (Max 60 pts)	
Readiness to Proceed (Max 20 pts)	
Financial Management (Max 20 pts)	
TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (Max 130 pts) For DV Applicants (Max 135 pts)	
FINAL AVERAGE (Total Project Score / 130) For DV Applicants (Total Project Score / 135)	

**GUAM HOMELESS COALITION SELECTION CRITERIA
FOR CONTINUUM OF CARE HOMELESS ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS
* For Ranking of HMIS and Coordinated Entry***

Organization: _____ **Project:** _____

Reviewer: _____ **Date Reviewed:** _____

Project Performance	Possible Score	Project Score
Is the project narrative fully responsive to the question being asked? Does it meet all the criteria for that question?	5	
Is the data provided in various parts of the project application consistent?	5	
Does the project maintain and report on universal and program-specific data elements?	5	
Does the project analyze metadata (information about the data itself)?	5	
Does the project ensure proper collection of data and maintenance of the database?	5	
Does the project periodically run and review audit reports to ensure appropriate privacy and data access policies are being followed by end users?	5	
Does the project provide timely and adequate training and assistance to end users?	5	
Does the organization submit all program information and reports in a timely manner	5	
Does the project meet the information needs of the community regarding homelessness?	5	
Project Performance	45	
Organizational Commitment	Possible Score	Project Score
Does the organization, its employees and partners (if applicable) have the necessary experience and knowledge to carry out the specific activities proposed?	5	
Does the organization participate in GHC activities and events such as the annual Point-In-Time (PIT) Count and Passport to Services?	5	
Does the organization attend regular GHC meeting?	5	
Does the organization participate in GHC subcommittees?	5	
Organizational Commitment	20	
Relative Need	Possible Score	Project Score
Is the project directly related to meeting the information needs of the GHC service providers?	5	
Does the organization explain how the project is consistent with the mission statement of the Continuum of Care?	5	
Relative Need	10	
Financial Management	Possible Score	Project Score
Does the application provide clear information that addresses sustainability and a budget that supports the project design?	5	
Do the project costs, including costs associated with operations, and administrations, reflect the norm for the type of structure of kind of activity?	5	
Is there a financial management system in place that is able to properly account for expenditure of federal funds?	5	
Does the application specify appropriate financial leverage and matching funds?	5	
Has the program been spending its current funds in a timely manner?	5	
Has the program been using its current funds appropriately?	5	
Financial Management	30	

Comments: _____

Ranking of HMIS and Coordinated Entry	
Project Performance (Max 45 pts)	
Organizational Commitment (Max 20 pts)	
Relative Need (Max 10 pts)	
Financial Management (Max 30 pts)	
TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (Max 105 pts)	
FINAL AVERAGE (Total Project Score / 105)	